

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,078	07/19/2001	Akira Taguchi	4196-A2JPUS	9893
29370	7590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
ROBERT A. PARSONS			MCALLISTER, STEVEN B	
	4000 N. CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE PHOENIX, AZ 85012	E 1220	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3627	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/909,078	TAGUCHI, AKIRA				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Steven B. McAllister	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. a timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	February 2006					
·		is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is				
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under	•					
Dispositi	on of Claims	,					
· _	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
·	on Papers						
	•						
′=	The specification is objected to by the Examin		- F				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached On	ce Action of form P1O-152.				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	1			
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bookbrowse.com in view of Garrido and Kitamura (6,829,594).

Bookbrowse shows displaying a list of books in a recommendation home page; a customer selecting a book from the list and in response the customer's selection, displaying a portion of the book on the display; when the customer wants to purchase the book, switching to a home page managed by a vendor; inputting by the customer predetermined items to buy the book (since it shows linking to and buying from Amazon.com to purchase and Amazon requires predetermined items including at least name and mailing address and the number of copies), sending via telecommunication line the predetermined items to a vendor who prints and delivers the book. Bookbrowse does not show that the vendor home page is managed by a vendor who prints and delivers the book; that the entire contents of the book are available for display; or printing the number of copies based on electronic information indicating the content of the book stored in a memory device of the vendor.

Garrido shows a home page managed by a vendor who prints and delivers the book, and printing the number of copies based on electronic information indicating the content of the book stored in a memory device of the vendor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Bookbrowse by providing a vendor homepage where the vendor prints and delivers the book, wherein the vendor prints the number of copies based on electronic information indicating the content of the book stored in a memory device of the vendor in order to reduce waste associated with large scale printing.

Kitamura shows providing the entire contents of the book for browsing (Kitamura provides for browsing of all content with a time limit per page, among other embodiments as in Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the method of Bookbrowse by providing the entire content as taught by Kitamura in order to better enable the customer to select books for purchase.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding the combination, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,078

Art Unit: 3627

With respect to Applicant's argument that Bookbrowse does not show entering the particular information, the examiner respectfully disagrees and believes that it is inherently shown via the purchasing interaction with Amazon.com.

With respect to the Applicant's argument that Garrido does not show a vendor, the examiner respectfully disagrees. An electronic bookstore is a type of vendor.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is (571) 272-6785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3627

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Steven B. McAllister **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3627

Fen B. m. allet Steven B. McAllister

STEVE B. MCALLISTER PRIMARY EXAMINER